THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

–

WRIT PETITION No.1094 of 2006

–

DATED: 30-01-2006

Between:

E.Ch.Ranagaiah . . .Petitioner

And

The Chief General Manager, BSNL, Hyderabad,

and others . . .Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

–

WRIT PETITION No.1094 of 2006

–

O R D E R:

The petitioner is functioning as Junior Telecom Officer in BSNL at Atmakur, Kurnool District, with effect from 11-08-2004. He seeks transfer from that place on the ground that he is facing severe hardship and harassment in the hands of the third respondent, who is his immediate superior at Atmakur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner had made extensive submissions. Learned Standing Counsel for the respondents has been granted time to obtain instructions. He has placed before this a copy of the Investigation Report submitted by the Divisional Engineer, Kurnool, who has conducted an enquiry into the allegations made by the petitioner against the third respondent.

Transfer is always a matter of incidence of service and an employee cannot insist that he must be transferred to a place of his choice or that he does not intend to work at the station, to which he is posted. Be that as it may, the respondents have taken note of the request of the petitioner and have included the name of the petitioner in the list of the list of officers, who sought for premature transfers. The record discloses that the petitioner is on long leave. Therefore, it cannot be said that his case deserves to be attended out of turn, ignoring the claims of other similarly situated persons.

Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner, as and when his turn comes. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

(L.NARASIMHA REDDY, J)

30th JANUARY, 2006.

kvni